

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/832,668	(04/11/2001	Ljerka Ukrainczyk	SP01-076 1810		
22928	7590	07/28/2004		EXAMINER		
CORNING I SP-TI-3-1	NCORE	PORATED	HOFFMANN, JOHN M			
CORNING, NY 14831				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1731		

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$-t_l$
Advison, Action	09/832,668	UKRAINCZYK ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Hoffmann	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	ed
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ision and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee ur the final Office action; or (2) as set for	e nder th in
 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note)	·	Sec NOTE Below,	
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application	•	erially reducing or simplifying	the
issues for appeal; and/or		onen, reasonig er emipin,ing	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendm	ent
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the	те
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 29-39.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		Joyn Moffmann Joyn Moffmann Plymayy Examiner Art Unit: 1731	
5. Patent and Trademark Office TOL -303 (Rev. 11-03)	ORV Action	Part of Paper No. 44	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/832,668

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues: whether the changes to lines 2, 4-13 and 15-19 of claim 29 and/or lines 1-3 of claim 35 would make any of the claims allowable.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment was not entered and thus the arguments which pertain to the non-entered amendments are moot. The arguments regarding the rejections under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd paragraphs) are not understood. Applicant argued that some steps and structure are "required" to make and use the invention. The Courts have repeatedly held that if anything is required to make and use an invention, then such must be recited in the claims.